

INTERROGATION REPORT

(b) (1)
(b) (3)
(C)

INVESTIGATOR
DATE OF INTERVIEW
LOCATION OF INTERVIEW
INTERVIEWER

Make a copy for [redacted] and send to him.

COUNTRY : SOUTH VIETNAM (SVN)
SUBJECT : U.S. POW in QUANG NAM Province
DATE OF INFO : December 1967
DATE AND PLACE : 2 November 1968 (R-U)
OF ACQUISITION : SAIGON, Vietnam

NIC REPORT NO : [redacted]
NIC CASE NO : [redacted]
DATE OF REPORT : 14/11/68
NO. OF PAGES : 2
REF: [redacted]

S U M M A R Y

In early December 1967, a Caucasian was seen walking with several VC in KY THO Village, TIEN BAN District, QUANG NAM Province. It was later learned from the VC that the Caucasian was a captured American who had chosen to stay with the VC when offered his release. The American spoke Vietnamese and told the NVA troops in the village that he was from California and had no intention of returning to the U.S. after the war.

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
DATE: MAR 2006

Howard **CONFIDENTIAL**

IDENTIFICATION

CLASSIFICATION

DATE RECLASSIFIED

REMARKS

CONFIDENTIAL
NO FORN DISSEM

EXEMPT FROM

DECLASSIFICATION

None

See you soon, that

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

K I N

NIC REPORT NO:

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Page 2

1. In early December 1967, a Caucasian was seen walking with several members of a VC force in KY THO Village, DIEN BAN District, QUANG NAM Province, SVN. The VC and the Caucasian were all wearing black pajamas and were carrying bags of rice.
2. North Vietnamese troops in KY THO talked to the members of the VC and were told that the Caucasian was a captured U.S. soldier who had chosen to stay with the VC when offered his release. Many villagers had gathered around the American and were talking to him in Vietnamese. After this, several members of the NVA went over and conversed with the American. He told the NVA that he was from California, and did not intend to return to the U.S. after the war. The VC said that the group was in the area to look for a Vietnamese wife for the American, and that he was planning to live in QUANG NAM Province. The VC also said that they were very glad to have the American around because he could carry twice as much rice as a Vietnamese. It was later learned from the local villagers that this American traveled about often in DIEN BAN, DAI LOC and DUY XUYEN Districts in QUANG NAM Province. He was called "NAM" by the VC and the local people.
3. The American was of average build, weighed about 90 kilos, was about 1.80 meters tall and had blond hair and blue eyes. He was about 26 years old, light complected and wore a gold watch.

(NIC COMMENT: When asked to estimate interrogator's age, height and weight, the Source underestimated the age by 4 years (25 vs. 21), overestimated the height by 10 centimeters (2.0 vs. 1.9), and overestimated the weight by 5 kilos (80 vs. 75).)

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~ K I N

IR NUMBER NIC Report		COLLECTION PROJECT NUMBER		IR DATE <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="2">YR</td> <td colspan="2">MONTH</td> <td colspan="2">DAY</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td><td>8</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>4</td> </tr> </table>				YR		MONTH		DAY		6	8	1	1	1	4
YR		MONTH		DAY															
6	8	1	1	1	4														
ICR NUMBER/OTHER REFERENCE		SOURCE NUMBER		DATE RECD BY DISSEMINATION ACTIVITY <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td>YEAR</td><td>MONTH</td><td>DAY</td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td><td> </td><td> </td> </tr> </table>				YEAR	MONTH	DAY									
YEAR	MONTH	DAY																	
SII CODE(S)		TARGET COUNTRY (IES) VS		DATE RECEIVED BY EVALUATOR <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td>YEAR</td><td>MONTH</td><td>DAY</td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td><td> </td><td> </td> </tr> </table>				YEAR	MONTH	DAY									
YEAR	MONTH	DAY																	
THRU:		REASON FOR EVALUATION 1. <input type="checkbox"/> ICR 2. <input type="checkbox"/> COLLECTOR'S REQUEST 3. <input type="checkbox"/> SELECTED BY COL MGR 4. <input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL REQUEST 5. <input type="checkbox"/> ANALYST INITIATIVE		IR ORIGINATOR															

A. IR RESPONDED TO 1. <input type="checkbox"/> OIRM Part Three 2. <input type="checkbox"/> CIR 3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ICR - If checked, ICR was satisfied a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Completely b. <input type="checkbox"/> Partially (If b or c checked, include Guidance in Remarks Section) c. <input type="checkbox"/> Not at all 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Other	B. RELIABILITY OF INFORMATION 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Confirmed by other sources 2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Substantially true 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Cannot be judged 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Doubtful 5. <input type="checkbox"/> False	C. VALUE OF INFORMATION 1. <input type="checkbox"/> High (Unique, Timely, and of Major Significance) 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Moderate (Contributory and Useful) 3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low (Marginal) 4. <input type="checkbox"/> None (Of no use) 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Cannot be judged (Analyst has no basis for value judgement)			
D. USABILITY OF INFORMATION <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:33%; vertical-align: top;"> 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Used or planned for use in product a. <input type="checkbox"/> Basic Intelligence b. <input type="checkbox"/> Current Intelligence c. <input type="checkbox"/> Estimative Intelligence d. <input type="checkbox"/> Other </td> <td style="width:33%; vertical-align: top;"> 2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Incorporated in Data Base a. <input type="checkbox"/> Potentially Useful b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Background/Confirmatory 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Stimulus for Intelligence Guidance or Requirement </td> <td style="width:33%; vertical-align: top;"> 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Not used (All responses require explanation in Remarks section) a. <input type="checkbox"/> Unreliable b. <input type="checkbox"/> Too Fragmentary c. <input type="checkbox"/> Duplication d. <input type="checkbox"/> Not Pertinent to Needs </td> </tr> </table>			1. <input type="checkbox"/> Used or planned for use in product a. <input type="checkbox"/> Basic Intelligence b. <input type="checkbox"/> Current Intelligence c. <input type="checkbox"/> Estimative Intelligence d. <input type="checkbox"/> Other	2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Incorporated in Data Base a. <input type="checkbox"/> Potentially Useful b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Background/Confirmatory 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Stimulus for Intelligence Guidance or Requirement	4. <input type="checkbox"/> Not used (All responses require explanation in Remarks section) a. <input type="checkbox"/> Unreliable b. <input type="checkbox"/> Too Fragmentary c. <input type="checkbox"/> Duplication d. <input type="checkbox"/> Not Pertinent to Needs
1. <input type="checkbox"/> Used or planned for use in product a. <input type="checkbox"/> Basic Intelligence b. <input type="checkbox"/> Current Intelligence c. <input type="checkbox"/> Estimative Intelligence d. <input type="checkbox"/> Other	2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Incorporated in Data Base a. <input type="checkbox"/> Potentially Useful b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Background/Confirmatory 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Stimulus for Intelligence Guidance or Requirement	4. <input type="checkbox"/> Not used (All responses require explanation in Remarks section) a. <input type="checkbox"/> Unreliable b. <input type="checkbox"/> Too Fragmentary c. <input type="checkbox"/> Duplication d. <input type="checkbox"/> Not Pertinent to Needs			

NAME OF PRODUCT:

REMARKS (Number and Classify each Paragraph)

1. states in this report that in December 1967 he saw a Caucasian walking with several VC in eastern Quang Nam Province. He later learned that this individual was a captured American who had chosen to stay with the VC when offered his release. The American spoke Vietnamese and told NVA troops that he was from California.

2. The information in this report correlates to Marine deserter R. R. Garwood. Garwood was captured near Da Nang in September 1965 while on unauthorized leave. In 1967, he was offered his release but chose instead to desert to the enemy. He was last seen by U.S. PWs in late 1969 at a PW camp southwest of Da Nang, where he was billeted much of the time with the camp staff. He was known to occasionally go off on missions with enemy soldiers. Another report, 3CIT/19/69 (2 Feb 1969) is similar to this report with respect to Garwood's rice-supplying duties during the latter half of 1967.

3. One aspect of the source's information does not match Garwood and that is the physical description the source provided. However, the other information appears convincing enough.

CONFIDENTIAL

EVALUATOR'S RELEASE and DISCLOSURE GUIDANCE								
1. <input type="checkbox"/> Paragraph(s) _____ above are releasable to the government of _____								
2. <input type="checkbox"/> Evaluation is Not Releasable 3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Releasable Evaluation Not Requested								
EVALUATOR'S ORGANIZATION DB-4H	NAME OF EVALUATOR GARY KOBLITZ	DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION INSTRUCTIONS						
DATE EVALUATED <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td>YEAR</td><td>MONTH</td><td>DAY</td> </tr> <tr> <td>77</td><td>09</td><td>22</td> </tr> </table>		YEAR	MONTH	DAY	77	09	22	SIGNATURE OF APPROVING AUTHORITY <i>James M. Heller</i> CDR BRUCE L. HELLER
YEAR	MONTH	DAY						
77	09	22						